

5th World Congress on
**Clinical Surgery
and Anesthesia**

November 21, 2022 | Webinar

Keynote Forum



5th World Congress on Clinical Surgery and Anesthesia

November 21, 2022 | Webinar

Received date: 29-08-2022 | Accepted date: 01-09-2022 | Published date: 05-12-2022



Simon Gelman

Harvard Medical School, Massachusetts

Physiologic basis for use of small doses Noradrenalin

The density of α -adrenergic receptors is much higher in the veins, particularly compliant veins, than in arteries. That difference is responsible for the differences in responses to varying concentrations of noradrenaline infused. The higher density of α -adrenergic receptors in the veins leads to their higher sensitivity to noradrenaline of veins than arteries. Such a difference is reflected in the much higher sensitivity of veins, compared with arteries, to small doses of noradrenaline.

Another important difference between veins and arteries is that constriction of arteries leads to a decrease in flow within such arteries while constriction of compliant venous wall leads to a decrease in capacitance of these veins and shift of blood volume upstream, increasing venous return and therefore cardiac output.

The described above differences has led to many observations that noradrenaline can increase or decrease cardiac output depending on the dose used and baseline condition. Therefore, in normal physiologic conditions the small doses of noradrenaline do increase venous return and cardiac output while large doses would constrict arteries decreasing flow through the tissues and subsequently venous return and cardiac output.

Thus, there are many observations in the literature to support the notion described above and provide basis for using small doses of noradrenaline to increase venous return and cardiac output without jeopardizing tissue perfusion. Such responses increase sensitivity to fluid challenge and should optimize hemodynamics (adequate cardiac output) at a lower blood volume.

Administration of small doses of noradrenalin may further enhance the postoperative recovery. Future studies can address some specifics, i.e. determination of the “small” doses of the infusions (probably it should be somewhere at the beginning of the part “b” on the enclosed schema. If such a point is selected further on the portion “b”, it would be associated with some overloading if the patient.




Figure 1: This schema represents the changes in cardiac output induced by different doses of alpha-adrenergic agonists.

- a = beginning of infusion of small dose of α -adrenergic agonist (Cardiac Output increases)
- b = minor increase in α -agonist concentration (Cardiac Output is not changed)
- c = further increase of α -adrenergic agonist concentration (Cardiac Output drastically decreased)

5th World Congress on Clinical Surgery and Anesthesia

November 21, 2022 | Webinar

Recent Publications

1. Gelman S. Classic papers revisited: My love affair with the venous system. *Anesthesiol.* 2018; 129(2):329-332.
2. Gelman S. What drives venous return? *Eur J Anaesthesiol.* 2022; 39(3):196-197.
3. Gelman S. Using small doses of norepinephrine or phenylephrine during the peri-operative period. *Eur J Anaesthesiol.* 2022; 39:571-573.

Biography

Simon Gelman, grew up in the Soviet Union, Leningrad; emigrated to Israel in 1973. In 1976 moved to Cleveland, Ohio in the United States. In 1978 moved to Birmingham, Alabama where he finished his residency in anesthesiology in 1979 and became the chairman of the department in 1989. Since 1992 until 2002 was chairman of the department at Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School. He has more than 130 visiting professorships and more than 200 publications. He has been an editor of major anesthesiology journals. He is an honorary member of Israeli Society of Anesthesiologists and Australian and New Zealand College of Anesthetists. He has a fellowship and named lecture at Brigham and Women's Hospital and two endowed chairs in his name (one in the University of Alabama in Birmingham and another at Harvard Medical School).

sgelman@bwh.harvard.edu

5th World Congress on Clinical Surgery and Anesthesia

November 21, 2022 | Webinar

Received date: 03-08-2022 | Accepted date: 04-08-2022 | Published date: 05-12-2022



Emilio Garzon Cediel

Clinica de Marly Jorge Cavalier Gaviria, Colombia

Length of preoperative hospital stay is the dominating risk factor for surgical site infection in neurosurgery: A cohort data-driven analysis

Background: The number of days of Preoperative Hospital Stay (PHS) is a modifiable variable that has shown contradictory Surgical Site Infection (SSI) risk factor results in neurosurgery. We sought to pinpoint the day of PHS length related with a marked increase of risk of SSI.

Methods: From a tertiary teaching hospital, January 2015–December 2017, prospectively collected non-percutaneous neurosurgery procedures with standard antibiotic prophylaxis and 1-year follow-up were evaluated. SSI risk factors were assessed through multiple logistic regression models with different thresholds of PHS.

Results: A total of 1012 procedures were included in the study. Incidence of SSI was 4.4%. The median PHS was higher in those with SSI than in those without (1 day, Interquartile Range [IQR]: 7 vs. 0 days, IQR: 1, respectively, $P = 0.002$). By the amount of six days of PHS, this exposure risk past the threshold of significance for impact on wound infection (OR 2.8; CI 1.23–6.39, $P = 0.014$). Operative time past 4 h (OR 2.11; CI 1.12–3.98; $P = 0.021$), and in some models, previous surgery at same admission were also identified by multivariate analysis as increasing postoperative SSI risk.

Conclusion: The gradual increase of the SSI OR associated with longer PHS days was the highest risk factor of SSI in our cohort of patients. Studies directed to reduce this complication should consider the PHS.

Keywords: Antibiotic prophylaxis, Hospitalization, Neurosurgery, Preoperative period, Risk factor, surgical site infection.

Recent Publications

1. Cediel EG, Boerwinkle VL, Ramon JF, Arias D, De la Hoz-Valle JA, Mercado JD, Cohen D, Niño MC. Length of preoperative hospital stay is the dominating risk factor for surgical site infection in neurosurgery: A cohort data-driven analysis. *Surgical Neurology International*. 2022; 13(80): 1-8.
2. Boerwinkle VL, Cediel EG, Mirea L, et al. Network-targeted approach and postoperative resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging are associated with seizure outcome. *Annals of neurology*. 2019; 86(3): 344-356.
3. Rubiano A, Churry J, Cediel EG. Trauma craneoencefálico grave. In Godoy DA, ed. *Green Book: Cuidado intensivo: De la teoría a la práctica*. Distribuna Editorial Médica; 2014: 110-122.

Biography

Emilio Garzon Cediel has his experience in basic science and clinical studies in the field of neuroscience, acknowledging the importance of “bench to bedside” and “bedside to bench” research. With years of experience as neurosurgeon in different socioeconomic environments, understand the needs of quality health care in underdeveloped countries like his nation Colombia

2emiliodn@gmail.com